

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE.
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/025,548	12/26/2001	Tsuneo Yashiki	ZU-319/CONT	1823
75	90 12/04/2002			_
SHERMAN & SHALLOWAY			EXAMINER	
413 North Washington Steet Alexandria, VA 22314			LU, C CAIXIA	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1713	7
		DATE MAILED: 12/04/2002		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

·		m
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summany	10/025,548	YASHIKI ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
TI SANI DIO DATE AND CONTROL SING	Caixia Lu	1713
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perions - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the main earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a repleptly within the statutory minimum of thirty (3 bd will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH ute, cause the application to become ABAN	y be timely filed 30) days will be considered timely. S from the mailing date of this communication. IDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on S	eptember 9 and 30, 2002 .	
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□	This action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allocation of closed in accordance with the practice under Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) 1-4 is/are pending in the applicatio	n.	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdo	rawn from consideration.	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4</u> is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	I/or election requirement.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc		
Applicant may not request that any objection to		
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on	3	approved by the examiner.
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the I		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		
13) △ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore	ian priority under 35 H.S.C. & 1	119(a)-(d) or (f)
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:	ight phoney and of G. G. G. G.	(=) (=) (-)
1.☐ Certified copies of the priority docume	ents have been received.	
2.⊠ Certified copies of the priority docume		olication No. <i>08/651,492</i> .
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prapplication from the International I	riority documents have been re Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ceived in this National Stage
* See the attached detailed Office action for a li		
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dome		
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language p 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dome		
Attachment(s)	_	
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 	5) Notice of Info	mmary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) ormal Patent Application (PTO-152)
C. Detent and Trademark Office		

Application/Control Number: 10/025,548

Art Unit: 1713

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. Claims 2 and 3 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention for the same rationale as set forth in the previous office action, Paper No. 2.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

2. Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sasaki (US 4,891,411), Kioka (US 4,952,649), and Cuffiana et al (US 5,278,118) independently for the same rationale as set forth in the previous office action, Paper No. 2.

Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments filed on September 9 and 30, 2002 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues against the rejections made under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) over Sasaki (US 4,891,411) and Kioka (US 4,952,649) by comparing the activity of catalytic activities of applicant's catalyst and those cited in Sasaki and Kioka. However, applicant's has not introduced any new evidence in their arguments. Because the Board of Patent Appeals and interferences has already made decision with regard to Kioka and Sasaki based on all of the evidences of the record, no further consideration is required.

Application/Control Number: 10/025,548 Page 3

Art Unit: 1713

Declaration under 37 CFR 1.132 on September 9 and 30, 2002 to show that applicant's catalyst has unexpected high catalytic activity compared to Cuffiana's working example A9. Applicant has shown that, at applicant's polymerization condition, the catalyst reproduced based on Cuffiana's Example A9 has the activity of 4,520 g-polymer/gcatalyst or 25,682 g-polymer/g-Ti (calculated from 4520/17.6 wt.%) while the catalyst of the instant claims has the catalytic activity of 45,500 g-polymer/g-Ti of catalyst. It seems that the catalyst of the instant claims has superior catalytic activity compared to Cuffiana's Example A9 under applicant's polymerization condition. However, under patentee's polymerization conditions, the catalytic activity of Cuffiana's Example A9 listed in Table I of the reference is 23,000g-polymer/g-catalyst or 133,721 g-polymer/g-Ti (calculated from 23,000/17.2 wt.%) which is about five times higher compared to the activity of 4,520 g-polymer/g-catalyst or 25,682 g-polymer/g-Ti of the same catalyst reproduced by the applicant tested under applicant's condition. It is understood that a different olefin polymer is prepared in patentee's polymerization condition rather than the ethylene homopolymer. However, considering the unpredictable nature of activity behavior of the catalyst, one could not possibly predict that the catalyst of the instant claims to have superior catalytic activity over that of the catalyst of Cuffiana's Example A9 when tested under Cuffiana's polymerization conditions.

Because the catalysts of the instant claims are not limited to the specific catalytic activity under the specific condition, applicant's showing of criticality and unexpected results is not commensurate with the scope of the instant claims.

Art Unit: 1713

.

Conclusion

1. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Caixia Lu whose telephone number is (703) 306-3434. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:00 a.m. to 3:30 p.m..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Wu can be reached on (703) 308-2450. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9310 for regular communications and (703) 872-9311 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1193.

Caixia Lu Examiner

November 29, 2002